



ELSEVIER

Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics 62 (1995) 353–358

JOURNAL OF
COMPUTATIONAL AND
APPLIED MATHEMATICS

Approximation by repeated Padé approximants

Amiran Ambroladze^{a,b,*}, Hans Wallin^{b,*}^a*Department of Mathematics, Tbilisi University, Tbilisi, Georgia*^b*Department of Mathematics, University of Umeå, S-901 87 Umeå, Sweden*

Received 20 May 1994; revised 20 October 1994

Abstract

It is well known that the n th diagonal Padé approximant (PA) of a Markov–Stieltjes function f converges with geometric rate to f . A special case of what we prove is that if $n = 2k$ the same rate of convergence may be obtained by first constructing the k th diagonal PA p_1/q_1 of f and then the k th diagonal PA of $q_1^2 f$.

Keywords: Approximation theory; Padé approximation; Markov–Stieltjes functions; Convergence results; Orthogonal polynomials

1. Introduction

Let f be a Markov–Stieltjes function,

$$f(z) = \int_{\Delta} \frac{d\mu(t)}{z - t}, \quad (1)$$

where Δ is a compact interval on \mathbb{R} and μ a finite positive measure whose support is an infinite subset of Δ . Then f is analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta$ where \mathbb{C} denotes the extended complex plane, and $f(\infty) = 0$. Let $\pi_n(z)$ denote the n th diagonal Padé approximant (PA) at infinity of the function $f(z)$ (see Section 2 for the definition; for notational convenience we shall refer to the n th diagonal PA as the PA of order n). This means that

$$f(z) - \pi_n(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2n-1}), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the right-hand side denotes a power series in $1/z$ with the lowest order term of degree $2n + 1$.

* Corresponding author.

Let $\phi(z)$ be a conformal mapping of the domain $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$ onto the complement of the unit disk $\{z: |z| \leq 1\}$ with $\phi(\infty) = \infty$. Then it is well-known (see [4, p. 63]) that we have the following geometric rate of convergence,

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |f(z) - \pi_n(z)|^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{|\phi(z)|^2} < 1,$$

locally uniformly (on compact subsets) in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$.

The object in this note is to prove that the same rate of convergence may be obtained in another way; we can divide our construction of an approximant into two steps. We assume for simplicity that $n = 2k$. First we construct the PA $p_1(z)/q_1(z)$ of order k of $f(z)$ and then the PA of the same order k of the function $q_1^2(z)f(z)$. (Note that in general $q_1^2(z)f(z)$ has a pole at infinity which means that we have to define PAs also for functions with a pole at infinity.) Consequently, instead of constructing immediately the PA of order $2k$, we divide our construction into two steps and in each step we construct PAs of order k . The two methods lead to different approximants but they give the same rate of convergence (see Theorem 1 below).

There are some reasons why the second method may be preferable. First, suppose that we have constructed the PA $p_1(z)/q_1(z)$ of order k of $f(z)$ and that $p_1(z)/q_1(z)$ approximates $f(z)$ within accuracy ε on some fixed compact set but that we need an accuracy, let us say, within ε^2 . Then we can either construct the PA of order $2k$ of $f(z)$ or the PA of order k of $q_1^2(z)f(z)$. The latter way seems to be preferable because in this case we do not lose the information obtained in the first step (we use $q_1(z)$).

Second, if we construct immediately the PA of order $2k$, we have to solve a linear system of equations with matrix of order $2k \times 2k$ in order to find the denominator of degree $2k$ of the PA. To do this we need $(\frac{2}{3}k)^3 = 8(\frac{1}{3}k)^3$ operations (see [1, p. 63]). In the other case we have to solve two linear systems with $k \times k$ matrices. To do that we need only $2(\frac{1}{3}k)^3$ operations. Moreover, in the second case the construction may be divided not only into two but into several, say, m steps (see Theorem 2). Then the difference between the sizes of the matrices becomes more essential; an $mk \times mk$ matrix in the first case giving $(\frac{1}{3}mk)^3$ operations, and m matrices of order $k \times k$ in the second case giving $m(\frac{1}{3}k)^3$ operations.

2. Statement of results

We first define the PA at infinity. The definition below includes, of course, the case when f is analytic at infinity, for instance when f is of the form (1).

Definition. Let f be a function which is holomorphic in a punctured neighbourhood of infinity and has a removable singularity or a pole at infinity. The *Padé approximant* (PA) of order n of $f(z)$, or the n th diagonal PA of $f(z)$, is a rational function $p(z)/q(z)$, where $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ are polynomials, $q(z) \neq 0$, and the degree of $q(z)$, $\deg q(z)$, is at most n , for which the difference $f(z) - P(z)/Q(z)$ has a zero of maximal order at infinity, if $P(z)$ and $Q(z)$ are polynomials, $Q(z) \neq 0$, and $\deg Q(z) \leq n$.

It can be proved that the fraction $p(z)/q(z)$ is unique.

Below we will need an equivalent definition of the PA as the fraction $p(z)/q(z)$, where $p(z)$ and $q(z)$ are polynomials, $q(z) \neq 0$, and $\deg q(z) \leq n$, for which $q(z)f(z) - p(z)$ has a zero of maximal order at infinity. Note that $p(z)$ is the polynomial part of $q(z)f(z)$.

Remark. Another equivalent definition of the PA $p(z)/q(z)$ of order n of $f(z)$ is as follows: $p(z)$ and $q(z)$, $q(z) \neq 0$, are polynomials, and $\deg q(z) \leq n$, such that

$$q(z)f(z) - p(z) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-n-1}), \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the right-hand side denotes a power series in z^{-1} with the lowest order term of degree $n+1$ or higher. This means that the $n+1$ coefficients of $q(z)$ are determined by solving a system of n linear equations. These equations are obtained by expanding $q(z)f(z)$ in a Laurent series around infinity and requiring that the coefficients of z^{-j} , $1 \leq j \leq n$, are zero. After that $p(z)$ is determined as the polynomial part of this expansion of $q(z)f(z)$.

If f has a removable singularity at infinity, p has degree at most n and p/q is often referred to as the PA of f of type (n, n) . This is the case usually studied and it justifies the name diagonal PA. For a function of the form (1) p has degree at most $n-1$ since $f(\infty) = 0$, and p/q is then often referred to as the PA of f of type $(n-1, n)$.

Theorem 1. Let $p_1(z)/q_1(z)$ be the PA of order n_1 of the Markov–Stieltjes function $f(z)$ given by (1). Let $p_2(z)/q_2(z)$ be the PA of order n_2 of the function $q_1^2(z)f(z)$. Put $n = (n_1, n_2)$, $|n| = n_1 + n_2$, and $Q_n(z) = q_1(z)q_2(z)$. Then $\deg q_1(z) = n_1$, $\deg q_2(z) = n_2$, and we have the following error formula for $z \in \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$,

$$r_n(z) := f(z) - \frac{p_2(z)}{q_2(z)q_1^2(z)} = \frac{1}{Q_n^2(z)} \int \frac{Q_n^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2|n|-1}).$$

Also,

$$\limsup_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} |r_n(z)|^{1/|n|} \leq \frac{1}{|\phi(z)|^2} < 1,$$

locally uniformly in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$, where $\phi(z)$ was defined in the Introduction.

Remark. In the theorem we defined $p_2(z)/q_2(z)$ as the PA of order n_2 of the function $q_1^2(z)f(z)$. But this means that $p_2(z)/(q_2(z)q_1^2(z))$ is a Padé type approximant of $f(z)$ with preassigned poles at the zeros of $q_1^2(z)$ (see [3]). This is an example where Padé type approximants appear in a natural way.

Theorem 1 has the following generalization.

Theorem 2. Put $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m)$ and let $p_j(z)/q_j(z)$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, denote the PA of order n_j of the function $[q_{j-1}(z) \cdot q_{j-2}(z) \cdots q_1(z)]^2 \cdot f(z)$. Put $|n| = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_m$ and $Q_n(z) = q_1(z)q_2(z) \cdots q_m(z)$. Then $\deg q_j(z) = n_j$, $1 \leq j \leq m$, and the following error formula holds for $z \in \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$,

$$\begin{aligned} r_n(z) &:= f(z) - \frac{p_m(z)}{q_m(z)[q_{m-1}(z) \cdot q_{m-2}(z) \cdots q_1(z)]^2} \\ &= \frac{1}{Q_n^2(z)} \int \frac{Q_n^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-2|n|-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$\limsup_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} |r_n(z)|^{1/|n|} \leq \frac{1}{|\phi(z)|^2} < 1,$$

locally uniformly in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$.

In the proof of these theorems we shall use the following lemma which follows from [2, Ch. III, formula (7.8)].

Lemma 3. Let Δ be a compact interval on the real line and $\{q_n(z)\}_0^\infty$ a sequence of polynomials where, for each n , $q_n(z)$ is an orthonormal polynomial of order n with respect to some probability measure μ_n on Δ . This means that

$$\int_{\Delta} q_n(t) t^k d\mu_n(t) = 0 \quad \text{for } k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$$

and

$$\int_{\Delta} q_n^2(t) d\mu_n(t) = 1.$$

Then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_n(z)} \right|^{1/n} \leq \frac{1}{|\phi(z)|}$$

locally uniformly in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$.

3. Proofs

We shall prove Theorem 1. Theorem 2 is proved analogously.

It is well known that since $p_1(z)/q_1(z)$ is the Padé approximant of order n_1 of the Markov–Stieltjes function $f(z)$, $q_1(z)$ is an orthogonal polynomial of degree n_1 with respect to the measure μ (see for instance [3]). In particular, $\deg q_1(z) = n_1$ and the zeros of $q_1(z)$ are all real. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} q_2(z)q_1^2(z)f(z) &= \int_{\Delta} \frac{q_2(z)q_1^2(z)}{z-t} d\mu(t) \\ &= \int_{\Delta} \frac{q_2(z)q_1^2(z) - q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) + \int_{\Delta} \frac{q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) \\ &= p_2(z) + \int_{\Delta} \frac{q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $p_2(z)$ is some polynomial. The last integral gives a function which is holomorphic at infinity with an expansion

$$\int_A \frac{q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) = \frac{c_1}{z} + \frac{c_2}{z^2} + \dots,$$

where

$$c_k = \int_A t^{k-1} q_2(t)q_1^2(t) d\mu(t), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

The denominator $q_2(z)$ of the PA $p_2(z)/q_2(z)$ of order n_2 is defined by the condition that $\deg q_2(z) \leq n_2$ and that the maximal number of the first coefficients c_k are zero. Since $q_1^2(t) d\mu(t)$ is a positive measure on A we conclude that $q_2(z)$ must be an orthogonal polynomial of degree n_2 with respect to this measure. In particular, all the zeros of $q_2(z)$ are real. Hence, for the PA $p_2(z)/q_2(z)$ of order n_2 of $q_1^2(z)f(z)$ we have

$$q_1^2(z)f(z) - \frac{p_2(z)}{q_2(z)} = \frac{1}{q_2(z)} \int_A \frac{q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t), \quad (2)$$

where $\deg q_2(z) = n_2$. Furthermore, since $q_2(z)$ is an orthogonal polynomial with respect to $q_1^2(t) d\mu(t)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{q_2(z)} \int_A \frac{q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) &= \frac{1}{q_2^2(z)} \int_A \frac{q_2(z)q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_2^2(z)} \int_A \frac{[(q_2(z) - q_2(t)) + q_2(t)] q_2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{q_2^2(z)} \int_A \frac{q_2^2(t)q_1^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t). \end{aligned}$$

From this relation and (2) we get the error formula in Theorem 1. The estimation of the order of zero at infinity follows from the facts that $\deg q_1(z) = n_1$, $\deg q_2(z) = n_2$ and

$$\int_A \frac{Q_n^2(t)}{z-t} d\mu(t) = \mathcal{O}(z^{-1}) \quad \text{as } z \rightarrow \infty.$$

It remains to prove the limit relation in Theorem 1 from the error formula. It is straightforward to verify that it is enough to prove the limit relation for all sequences $n = (n_1, n_2)$ for which the following limits exist:

$$\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_1}{|n|} = \alpha_1 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_2}{|n|} = \alpha_2.$$

Clearly $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = 1$.

We may without loss of generality assume that $q_1(z)$ and $q_2(z)$ are normalized so that they are orthonormal polynomials. Then we get for any fixed compact $K \subset \bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$, and $z \in K$,

$$\begin{aligned} |r_n(z)| &\leq \frac{1}{|(q_2 q_1)^2(z)|} \frac{1}{\text{dist}(K, \Delta)} \int_{\Delta} q_2^2(t) q_1^2(t) d\mu(t) \\ &= \frac{1}{\text{dist}(K, \Delta)} \cdot \frac{1}{|(q_2 q_1)^2(z)|}, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\text{dist}(K, \Delta)$ denotes the distance from K to Δ .

Furthermore, without loss of generality we may assume that μ is a probability measure. Then the polynomials $q_1(z) = q_{1,n}(z)$ with $\deg q_{1,n}(z) = n_1$ satisfy all the conditions of Lemma 3. Hence we have

$$\limsup_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_{1,n}(z)} \right|^{1/|n|} = \left[\limsup_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_{1,n}(z)} \right|^{1/n_1} \right]^{n_1/|n|} \leq \left| \frac{1}{\phi(z)} \right|^{\alpha_1}, \quad (4)$$

locally uniformly in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$. Now we note that the measures $q_1^2 d\mu = q_{1,n}^2 d\mu$ are also probability measures on Δ due to the assumption of normality of $q_{1,n}$ with respect to μ . This means that the polynomials $q_2(z) = q_{2,n}(z)$ with $\deg q_{2,n}(z) = n_2$ also satisfy the conditions of Lemma 3. So analogously we have

$$\limsup_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_{2,n}(z)} \right|^{1/|n|} = \left[\limsup_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{1}{q_{2,n}(z)} \right|^{1/n_2} \right]^{n_2/|n|} \leq \left| \frac{1}{\phi(z)} \right|^{\alpha_2}, \quad (5)$$

locally uniformly in $\bar{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta$.

From (3)–(5) we get the desired limit relation and Theorem 1 is proved.

References

- [1] G.A. Baker Jr. and P.R. Graves-Morris, *Padé Approximants, Parts I and II*, Encycl. Math., Vols. 13 and 14 (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1981).
- [2] G. Freud, *Orthogonal Polynomials* (Pergamon, Oxford, 1971).
- [3] L. Karlberg and H. Wallin, Padé type approximants and orthogonal polynomials for Markov–Stieltjes functions, *J. Comput. Appl. Math.* **32** (1990) 153–157.
- [4] E.M. Nikishin and V.N. Sorokin, *Rational Approximation and Orthogonality*, Translations of Math. Monographs, Vol. 92 (Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991).